

NEW ZEALAND VICE-CHANCELLORS' COMMITTEE
COMMITTEE ON UNIVERSITY ACADEMIC PROGRAMMES

Amendments to Round Two proposals 2008
as a result of correspondence
(regulatory provisions only)

| No. | University | Proposal | Nature of amendment | Proposal to be discussed by CUAP |
|-----|------------|----------------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| 1 | Auckland | PGDipClinEd | CU noted that under 50% of the qualification was in clinical education and believed this detracted from its value. It recommended CLINED701 be a requirement. AU acknowledged the concern and made adjustments so that 50% of the qualification would be in clinical education. It gave reasons why it felt it inappropriate to require CLINED701. | No |
| 2 | AUT | BE (Hons) Biotronics | OU identified an error in a paper listing in the table of requirements for the degree, which AUT corrected. | Yes |
| 3 | Waikato | Discretionary Entrance Law | OU remarked on unclear wording in the first sentence of the amendment and proposed a revision. | No |
| 4 | Massey | BE(Hons) Product Design | WU queried the 135 credits specified for Part 4 of the degree. MU acknowledged an error in including one paper twice. The correct credit value was 120. | |
| 5 | Canterbury | PGDipEd | AU suggested that Reg. 9 might make clear how Distinction would be awarded. CU agreed to make a change. | No |
| 6 | Canterbury | PGCertEd | AU suggested that Reg. 7, dealing with transferring of papers to PGDipEd or MEd, should include "if the candidate meets the eligibility criteria of these qualifications". CU agreed to make the change. | No |
| 7 | Canterbury | MEd | AU made several suggestions for improvement, all of which CU adopted. | No |
| 8 | Canterbury | BTchLn(Hons) | WU noted that the research component was not adequately identified. CU acknowledged an error and amended the regulations. | No |